EXHIBIT 3

COHEN & LORD, APC,

Counterclaimant,

V.

JAMES SAMATAS, as an individual, and JAMES SAMATAS as TRUSTEE OF THE JAMES SAMATAS REVOCABLE TRUST, and DOES 1 – 100,

Counterdefendants.

First Claim

(Breach of Contract)

- 1. At all times mentioned herein, counterclaimant Cohen & Lord, APC ("Cohen & Lord") has been a professional corporation organized and existing under California law, doing business in Los Angeles County.
- 2. Counterdefendant James Samatas, individually and as trustee of the James Samatas Revocable Trust N/T/A dated September 8, 1988 (collectively "Samatas"), is a resident of Los Angeles County.
- 3. The true names and capacities of counterdefendants named herein by the fictitious name of Doe are unknown to Cohen & Lord who therefore sues said counterdefendants by such fictitious names. As and when Cohen & Lord discovers their true names, it will seek leave to amend this counterclaim to allege same.
- 4. Cohen & Lord is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the individual counterdefendants was the agent of the other and was authorized to do the things alleged or was acting within the scope of that agency.
- 5. The obligation described herein was entered into and is payable in this judicial district. The obligation sued on is not subject to the provisions of Civil Code § 2984.4 or Civil Code §1812.10.

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 6. On or about October 11, 2010, Cohen & Lord and Samatas entered into a written agreement in which (a) Cohen & Lord agreed to represent Samatas in connection with issues relating to the home at 1424 Tanager Way, Los Angeles, California, and such additional matters as Cohen & Lord might agree to undertake, and (b) Samatas agreed to pay Cohen & Lord the fees and costs it incurred in connection with said representation. Thereafter, Cohen & Lord began to represent Samatas in LASC BC456738 ("the action"), and sent bills to Samatas, who paid the fees and costs described in some of those bills.
- 7. Samatas breached the written agreement by failing and refusing to pay Cohen & Lord the balance of fees and costs owed to it, in excess of \$3 million.
- 8. On March 22, 2017, Samatas substituted attorney Ellen K. Wolf in place of Cohen & Lord in the action.
- 9. Cohen & Lord performed all things on its part to be performed under the written agreement except as excused by law.
- 10. As a result of the above-described breach of the written agreement, Cohen & Lord has been damaged in an amount which exceeds \$3 million, plus interest at the legal rate of 10% per annum (Civil Code § 3289(b)).

Second Claim

(Account Stated)

- 10. Cohen & Lord realleges paragraphs 1 through 5.
- 11. An account has been stated between Cohen & Lord and Samatas for work, labor and professional services rendered to Samatas. The current balance on such account exceeds \$3 million, exclusive of interest at the legal rate, and Samatas is indebted to Cohen & Lord in that amount.
- 12. This sum has not been paid although demand therefor has been made and there is now due and owing, and unpaid, from Samatas a sum in excess of \$3 million, together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum thereon.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
113 14 15 115	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Third Claim

(Quantum Meruit)

- 13. Cohen & Lord realleges paragraphs 1 through 5.
- 14. Cohen & Lord has furnished work, labor and professional services to Samatas at his special request, for which Samatas promised to pay Cohen & Lord the reasonable value. The reasonable value of the work, labor, and services that have not been paid for exceeds \$3 million.
- 15. This sum has not been paid notwithstanding that demand has been made therefor. There is now due and owing, and unpaid, from Samatas a sum in excess of \$3 million, together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum thereon.

Fourth Claim

(Work, Labor, and Services)

- 16. Cohen & Lord realleges paragraphs 1 through 5.
- 17. Samatas has become indebted to Cohen & Lord in excess of \$3 million for work, labor, and services, performed by Cohen & Lord for Samatas at his special request.
- 18. This sum has not been paid notwithstanding that demand has been made therefor. There is now due and owing, and unpaid, from Samatas a sum in excess of \$3 million, together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum thereon.

28

ctrclaim181127.dock

Wherefore, Cohen & Lord prays judgment as follows:

- 1. For a sum in excess of \$3 million, according to proof;
- 2. For interest at the rate of 10% per annum, according to proof;
- 3. For costs of suit herein; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper.

Lyle R Mink A Law Corporation

By Lyle R. Mink

Attorney for Cohen & Lord

Doc 90-3 Filed 02/15/21 Entered 02/15/21 21:39:02 Desc Exhibit

Page 7 of 7

Case 20-17355